



## CAN Dashboard Steering Committee 04/05/2018

**Attendees:** Avais Azhar, (Housing Works Austin), Greg Cumpton (Ray Marshall Center), Tiffany Daniels (Workforce Solutions), Korey Darling (Travis County Health and Human Services), Hunter Ellinger (CAN Community Council), Caitlin Hamrock (E<sup>3</sup> Alliance), Timothy Long (ECHO), Brooke W. Martin (Integral Care), Josh Rudow (COA Neighborhood Housing and Community Development),

**Staff in Attendance:** Raul Alvarez, Carlos Soto, Jelina Tunstill

**Welcome and Introductions:** Raul Alvarez, CAN Executive Director, called the meeting to order at 2:07. Louise Lynch, who served as Committee Chair until her term ended in Dec, was not able to attend. Raul passed around a card to sign for Louise and is giving her an orchid upon her departure from chair. CAN staff has communicated with vision zero about the traffic fatalities. The rate of uninsured exceeded our goal and also needs to be looked at. It's a good problem to have but it requires us to move and decide on a goal. Introductions were done.

**Announcements:** Raul welcomed everyone and noted that this time of year is busy with deadlines and thanked everyone for their attendance. CANews will be broken up into two editions for this month with one including events and the next one including news. Whatever we vote on today will be presented to the board in May. Usually that would happen in April, but we didn't have the health data, so we will continue with that process in May.

**Approval of minutes:** The 2/01/18 meeting minutes were approved unanimously as submitted. Greg moved, Hunter seconded.

**Executive Director Update:** March there was no board meeting due to spring break & SXSW week. At the March Community Council meeting, Deece Eckstein, Eva De Luna Castro and Brie Franco presented on legislative issues and what to look out for in 2019. It was geared towards the continuation of the work that the community council was doing around child poverty and if there was anything that CC wanted to continue. Language Access work continues, we had a meeting yesterday. How do we create an online information hub more readily? Not just saying here's where you go, but also checking if the people who are referred to and the services we are highlighting will know what to do. Having a language coordinator designated is a good place to start as best practice. Trying to extend the life of the workgroup and called it an action team and use the recommendations in the work group report. We are in the beginning stages of planning for this year's deliberative dialogue. Next week I am meeting with a couple folks in San Marcos about our summit that will be in San Marcos in September.

**Traffic Fatalities Indicator:** Carlos reminded the group that we voted to add this indicator but as you can see in the minutes we didn't decide on a baseline or target. In communications with Vision Zero's outreach coordinator Ashley Bischoff, the target was specified as reducing deaths to 0 by 2025. Thus, that was their suggested goal. Showing progress against a necessary reduction is appropriate. We won't have to change the goal but it will always be a necessary reduction target. Josh Rudow asked to see a specific example how that goal and target would work. Carlos said that if we were at 75 deaths, the goal would be to drop 12 a year until 2025. So, if it were 60 something it would show on track to target and if it wasn't it would show red. Raul added that this is a similar measure that we use with other indicators

Hunter Ellinger mentioned that this goal is inconsistent with all the other goals, of course we would like 0 for our goals but it will look utopian. It won't be terribly distracting although it's unreasonable. If we make all the other goals look like that then it would change the dashboard drastically. Carlos added that since it's a city target, it would look weird for us to have one goal and for the city to have a different goal. Greg suggested we could set a goal for 2020 so that our number doesn't have to be zero and then make the goal 12 until we hit that goal. Raul noted that vision zero said that the goal should be zero.

The baseline is calculated as an average over the previous 5 years. Raul added that each year you would see a different baseline because it's a rolling average. Greg noted that you could change the goal for that year each

year to align to the target. Hunter asked if we are stating the goals in terms of Travis county or Austin. Carlos replied that if we follow Vision Zero's recommendation we have to do Austin and if we wanted to do Travis County we would have to pipeline it for next year. Raul, we usually footnote it if it's not just Travis county. Caitlin asked I the measure is incidents or people? Carlos said the indicator is a count of traffic deaths. Awais Azahr said that 0 is a jarring goal and it would be better to make a 2020 goal that is on track to 0 but not 0. Carlos the 2020 would align with most of our other goals. Brooke Martin personally feels like the city goal and our dashboard should match. Stephanie Hawley asked about reasoning behind the crashes. Carlos specified in detail in the vision zero report. Hunter suggested setting a goal for the whole region and including the goal for Austin might be a little more complex than desired. Greg asked for a vote to keep the traffic deaths goal at 0 by 2025, resulting in 5 votes. Stephanie agrees with Awais about annual reduction. Caitlin Hamrock suggested having the 2020 goal and then in the text mention vision zero. Greg I'm against it because people don't read into details but whole number. There are optics. Josh I'd like to see it written out to see how it would look if we would change it. The committee voted to approve a target of 0 by 2025, on a motion by Greg Cumpton, seconded by Tiffany Daniels, which was approved unanimously.

**Review past recommendations:** Remove safe from third vision statement in Basic Needs section, placed safe in we are safe just and engaged. Adding is strong, deleting it is questionable. Awais Azhar mentioned that if we keep it we need to consider adding it to housing as well. A motion to remove safe from Basic Needs and add to "We Are Safe..." section was made by Greg Cumpton, seconded by Caitlin Hamrock, and passed unanimously.

Discussion on the Health Insurance goal began with a question about the basis for the goal, and if there is a problem about setting it at 10%. Medicaid doesn't have expansion so some members unsure it'll go down. Stephanie Hawley asked why we report as decreasing uninsured versus increasing insured. Raul asked if everyone is okay with asking central health for an updated goal. A motion was proposed to ask central health to assess new target for 2020 and we pre-approve whatever they tell us. Greg Cumpton seconded the motion, which passed on a unanimous vote.

As we finish pages we will send it to relevant partners to help us with formatting and analysis. We won't have the press conference until the first week of June.

**Introduce DSC Chair:** Raul Alarez announced that Greg Cumpton will be DSC chair next year. The nomination process was open for 6 weeks in order to weigh in, apply or nominate. CAN submitted the recommendation to the executive committee. Greg looks forward to focus on users & the dashboard and increasing its relevance, and improving the effectiveness of the review cycle. Cumpton discussed a possible email survey tool to facilitate the review cycle. Cumpton also discussed creating issue area committees so they would know topics and problems beforehand, and designating a person that can be chair in case he is absent.

**Discuss Dashboard Review Process:** Raul discussed ideas for the next DSC review cycle. One idea is to form subcommittees to support the work of the committee. The key is knowing what the committee wants to accomplish this year before hand, so we don't spend time repeating work completed in previous years and then committees that will be able to meet during the time in between. We will be reaching out virtually to make decisions of how the next review process will look.

**Adjournment:** The meeting was adjourned at 3:28 p.m.